

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,768	02/23/2004	Mitsuo Kubo	26010	7649	
20529	7590 06/13/2005		EXAMINER		
1 11 11 11 10 1 11	SSOCIATES	SEVER, ANDREW T			
1030 15th ST 6TH FLOOR	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		2851 DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No		Applicant(s)	+)"				
	10/782,768		KUBO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Andrew T. Seve		2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, how eply within the statutory mi od will apply and will expire ute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days s SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this co					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	, '							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consider							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ints have been reconsts have been reconsisting documents hereal (PCT Rule 17.2)	eived. eived in Applicatio ave been receive 2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	~,	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/782,768 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a projection system including a plurality of projectors,

classified in class 353, subclass 94.

II. Claims 4-6, drawn to a distortion compensation system for a projector(s),

classified in class 353, subclass 69.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single

combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a projection system

in a large planetarium, while invention II has separate utility as an image aberration composition

system for projecting on a non-planar screen such as used in simulators. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,768

Art Unit: 2851

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

For example group I claims the specifics of using a plurality of projectors whereas group

Page 3

II does not have such limitations and group I could use a different adjusting apparatus

then that claimed in-group II.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

For example group II has claims directed towards a plurality of photographing units

which is not found in-group I and which are taught in the measuring arts instead

of the projecting arts. Also group II's transforming means does not necessarily

have to be used in the plural projection apparatus of group I.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/782,768

Art Unit: 2851

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

Page 4

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew T. Sever whose telephone number is 571-272-2128. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AS

JUDY NGUYEN

ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER